Single particle caveats

- Initial model bias
 - Since the images are so noisy, it is possible to regenerate an image of a reference from aligning pure noise



It is possible to get a "high resolution" structure from a completely incorrect reconstruction

Figure 3



Artificially inflated resolution

- Reconstruction may be "correct", i.e. show the expected biological features, but the reported resolution may be more than is justified
 - Arises from noise bias
 - Through iterations of refinement noise can start to correlate with itself
 - Shifts FSC curve to the right



Infamously "wrong" structure of HIV trimer

Vs





Mao et al. PNAS 2013

Lyumkis et al. Nature 2013

Mao et al. sins



- Flexible fitting with a huge conformational change
 - No validation Booooo!
 - Extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence

Mao et al. sins



- Occam's Razor was not employed
 - Why should we think that those densities don't belong to the protein?

Compare to Lyumkis et al.



Other sins –particles are nearly invisible





Three response papers claim Mao *et al.* is artifactual

- Finding trimeric HIV-1 envelope glycoproteins in random noise – Van Heel, PNAS, 2013
- Structure of trimeric HIV-1 envelope glycoproteins Subramanaim, PNAS, 2013
- Avoiding the pitfalls of single particle cryoelectron microscopy: Einstein from noise – Henderson, PNAS, 2013